

2022 | portfolio [document] Review evaluation Criteria Matrix

Admittance into the upper Division | [professional Program]

portfolio number | ...00

check the appropriate box | numeric ranking

	01 minimally developed	02 needs improvement	03 average acceptable	04 skillfully developed	05 excellent well executed
design process _ [25%] 25% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> concept design intent conceptual interrogations site observations and analysis precedent study analysis diagramming parti development and exploration 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
design development _ [25%] 25% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> programming orthographic drawing development plans, sections, and elevations physical and digital 3 d modeling exploration 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
technical understanding integration _ [25%] 25% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> building system articulation structure, space, and skin material and building skin investigation representation modeling [analog and digital] craftsmanship and making 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
portfolio design _ [25%] 25% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstration of a comprehensive understanding of the design process demonstration of growth within the comprehensive body of work clarity of overall organization layout and communication 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

review | evaluation comments

portfolio review | ...00

team 00 _ faculty 1 | faculty 2 | faculty 3 | faculty 4 _ signature