2018 | portfolio [document] **Review evaluationCriteria Matrix**
Admittance into the upper Division | [professionalProgram]

portfolio number | ...00

check the appropriate box | numeric ranking

	00 minimally developed	01 needs improvement	02 average acceptable	03 skillfully developed	04 excellent well executed
design thinking |_ **[50%]**					
**design intent	concept** how well has the candidate articulated his	her critical conceptual design ideas? **note**	the overall body of portfolio Work shall show demonstrated evidence in either	both written text or visual graphic documentation	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
design process how well has the candidate provided visual evidence of development in design thinking skills for critical architectural	explorations through iterative design processes?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
**craftsmanship	graphic skills** how well has the candidate shown evidence of development	skills in the Craft of sketching	diagramming	drawing	model making	visual graphic documentation and presentation?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
technical understanding |_ **[25%]**					
context + programmatic responsiveness how well has the overall body of portfolio Work demonstrated evidence of communicating a critical understanding	knowledge of Context and Program?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
technical integration **appropriate for the [Arch] 1001	1002	2003	2004 studio sequence level** how well has the [Arch] sequence level technical criteria been introduced within the design solutions and overall body of portfolio Work? […including concepts of structures	spatial organization	egress, etc.,…]	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
portfolio document |_ **[25%]**					
communication how well does the overall body of portfolio Work support the critical ideas of a compilation of projects as a comprehensive whole?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
**engagement	commitment** how well does the overall body of portfolio Work illustrate and demonstrate the candidate's level of academic rigor	commitment to their own critical design thinking and academic Work	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

50%

25%

25%

review | **evaluation comments**

portfolio review Team | ...00 _____

facultyName | facultyName | facultyName   signature

sp_2018 [Arch] GPA Audits | 2nd Year Portfolio Review
upper division | Professional Program Acceptance
peter pittman_associate professor | senior Academic Advisor
18 march 2018_ p a g e 01 | 1